

## A Unitary Calculation of $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ Decay and the Imaginary Part of $\rho^0$ Polarization Insertion

Liu Lianggang<sup>①</sup> Li Peijing<sup>①</sup> Duan Yiwu<sup>②</sup> Ruan Wenyong<sup>③</sup>

①Department of Physics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275;

②Department of Physics, Xiangtan Normal College, Xiangtan 411100;

③Department of Applied Physics, South China University of Technology and Science, Guangzhou 510640)

**Abstract** A unitary calculation of the  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay and its relation with the  $\rho^0$  polarization insertion are shown. The imaginary part of the  $\rho^0$  polarization insertion is calculated by using dimensional integration method. The approaches used in this paper to draw the imaginary part of a polarization insertion are convenient and universal, and can be extensively used for other processes.

**Keywords**  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay, optical theorem, imaginary part of polarization insertion

The imaginary part of a propagator represents the width, or the structure of that particle, it also means the existence of the different decay channels of that particle. It is generally momentum dependent and has thresholds. When the momentum above threshold (s) the corresponding channel (s) opens. For two particle scattering, that means its phase shift will have a nonvanishing imaginary part. This process is related to the propagators of the intermediate states. So the imaginary part of the propagators is important for the scattering as well as decay processes.

There are some ways to calculate the imaginary part of the propagators, that is the imaginary part of the polarization insertion<sup>[1~3]</sup>. In this paper, however, as an example, we will present a more sophisticated, alternative approach to derive the imaginary part directly from the  $\rho^0$  polarization insertion, instead of calculating the  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay rate by Feynman diagrams. We will show the connection between the decay rate and the imaginary part of polarization insertion.

In the second section, a unitary calculation of the  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay rate, especially the relation between the decay rate and the imaginary part of  $\rho^0$  polarization insertion is

---

Received: 1993-02-24

given. In the third section, the  $\rho^0$  polarization insertion is calculated by dimensional integration method and from which the imaginary part can be drawn. A summary and conclusions are given in the last section.

### 1 Unitarity and $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay

$\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay is the main decay mode of  $\rho^0$  meson<sup>[4]</sup>. The most conventional way to calculate its decay rate  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}$  is by perturbative Feynman diagrams for a given interaction<sup>[5,6]</sup>. The main purpose of this section is not to repeat the result, instead by unitarity or optical theorem to derive a relation between  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}$  and the corresponding imaginary part of  $\rho^0$  polarization insertion  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ .

The unitarity of the  $S$  matrix gives the following identity<sup>[7]</sup>

$$2\text{Im}\langle i|T|i\rangle = \sum_n |\langle n|T|i\rangle|^2 \quad (1)$$

$T$  is the transition matrix. The transition probability for a initial state  $i = \rho^0$  to  $n$ -final states, by use of eq. (1) is as follows

$$P_\rho(\rho) = \frac{2}{3} \text{Im} \sum_{\lambda=1}^3 \langle \rho\lambda(\rho) | T | \rho\lambda(\rho) \rangle \quad (2)$$

here  $\lambda$  is the polarization index for  $\rho^0$ .  $|\rho\lambda(\rho)\rangle = a_{\rho\lambda}^+ |0\rangle$ . We follow the conventions of Bjorken and Drell (Relativistic Quantum Mechanics). Eq. (2) is just the optical theorem for  $\rho^0$  decay.

The  $\rho\pi\pi$  interaction Lagrangian  $L_I$  is as follows

$$L_I = i \vec{j}^\mu \cdot \vec{\rho}_\mu \quad (3)$$

$$\vec{j}^\mu = i g_{\rho\pi\pi} \vec{\pi} \times \partial^\mu \vec{\pi} \quad (4)$$

since we are considering  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay, so only the third component  $j_\mu^3$  ( $\equiv j_\mu$ ),  $\rho_\mu^3$  ( $\equiv \rho_\mu$ ) will contribute to the decay. Substitute the Lagrangian  $L_I$  into the  $T$  matrix and we find that

$$P_\rho(\rho) = -\frac{2}{3} \text{Im} \frac{1}{2\omega_p V} \left( -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{m_\rho^2} \right) \int d^3x d^3y e^{i p \cdot (x-y)} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(x-y) \quad (5)$$

where  $\omega_p = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m_\rho^2}$ ,  $V$  is the assumed renormalization volume of space.  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  is the polarization insertion of  $\rho^0$  meson, and defined as follow

$$-i\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x-y) = \langle 0 | T [j_\mu(x) j_\nu(y)] | 0 \rangle \quad (6)$$

So the  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay rate  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}$ , or the transition probability per unit time, by substituting eq. (6) in to eq. (5), can be written as follow

$$\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi} = \frac{1}{3\omega_p} \left( g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{m_\rho^2} \right) \text{Im} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(\rho) \quad (7)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\rho) = i g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 \int [dq / (2\pi)^4] (\rho - 2a)_\mu (\rho - 2q)_\nu \Delta_\pi(q) \Delta_\pi(\rho - q) \quad (8)$$

$\Delta_\pi$  is the pion propagator. It is easy to verify that

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^a(\rho) = -g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 (\rho^2 - 4m_\pi^2) F_{\mu\nu}(\rho^2, m_\pi^2, m_\pi^2) + \text{real divergent term} \quad (9)$$

where function  $F_2$  is defined in the Appendix. By using the formulae there, we have

$$\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu}^{\nu}(s) = g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 (\bar{Q}^3/2\pi \sqrt{s}) \theta(s-4m_{\pi}^2) \quad (10)$$

the definition of  $s$  and  $\bar{Q}$  are given in the Appendix.

One can prove in a similar way that

$$\text{Im}\rho^{\mu}\rho^{\nu}\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\rho) = 0 \quad (11)$$

which means  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\rho)$  is transverse and we will show this point explicitly in the next section.

Substitute eqs. (10, 11) into eq. (7), we find that

$$\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}(s) = g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 (\bar{Q}^3/6\pi\omega_{\rho} \sqrt{s}) \theta(s-4m_{\pi}^2) \quad (12)$$

which is exactly the same as that calculated by the usual perturbative Feynman diagram method<sup>[3,5]</sup>. As stated in the introduction, the aims of this section is not only to reproduce the well known result, that is eq. (12), we emphasize on the method used here to derive eq. (12) and the relation between  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi}$  and  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ . We will further illustrate transversality of  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  in the next section.

## 2 Imaginary part of $\rho^0$ polarization insertion

In last section, a relation between  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi}$  and  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  is derived. In this section, we will calculate  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  by the methods given in the Appendix. The transversality of  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ , that is eq. (11) is demonstrated and the connection between  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi}$  is shown.

The definition of  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  is given in the last section, by using the identity

$$1/ab = \int_0^1 dx/[ax + b(1-x)]^2 \quad (13)$$

eq. (8) can be written as follow

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(\rho) = & ig_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 \left\{ \rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu} \int_0^1 dx (2x-1)^2 \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{[q^2 - \rho^2(x^2-x) - m_{\pi}^2]^2} \right. \\ & \left. + 4 \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{[q^2 - \rho^2(x^2-x) - m_{\pi}^2]^2} \right\} \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

By the dimensional integration method<sup>[9]</sup> and neglect all real terms, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\rho) = & g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 \frac{\text{Im}}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left\{ \rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu} (2x-1)^2 + 2g_{\mu\nu}\rho^2 \left[ (x-\frac{1}{2})^2 - \delta^2 \right] \right\} \\ & \cdot \ln \left[ (x-\frac{1}{2})^2 - \delta^2 \right] \theta(\rho^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2) \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta$  is defined in the Appendix. Eq. (15) can be further reduced in a similar way as that given in the Appendix. Finally, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\rho) = & g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 \frac{\rho^2 \delta^3}{6\pi} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu}}{\rho^2} \right) \theta(\rho^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2) \\ = & -\omega_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi}(s) \left( -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu}}{\rho^2} \right) \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

in the last line, eq. (12) has been substituted into the first line of eq. (16). So we can see that  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  is proportional to  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi}$  and the transversality of  $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ , that is

$$\rho^{\nu} \text{Im} \Pi_{\rho\nu} = \rho^{\nu} \text{Im} \Pi_{\nu\rho} = 0 \quad (17)$$

is satisfied.

### 3 Summary and conclusion

By the unitarity of the  $S$  matrix, we find a relation between  $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi}$  and the imaginary part of  $\rho^0$  polarisation insertion. The decay rate calculated in this way is the same as that calculated by the usual perturbative method. But the approach used here is easy and can be easily applied to other processes. The most interesting point of this paper is that we demonstrated a new method to draw the imaginary part from a Feynman diagram and applied it to the calculation of  $\text{Im} \Pi_{\rho\nu}$ , and verified that our method gives the result that is consistent with that of calculated by Cutkosky rule. Some of the results, eg. (16) can also be thought of as a proving for the validity of other formulae used in the literatures<sup>[3]</sup>.

### Reference

- 1 Gounaris G J, Sakurai J J. Finite Width Corrections to the VMD prediction for  $\rho \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ . Phys Rev Lett. 1968, 21: 244
- 2 Bakov L M, Chilingarov A G, Eidelman S I, et al. Electromagnetic Pion Formfactor in the Time-like Region. Nucl Phys. 1985. B256: 365
- 3 Bonneau G, Martin F. Inelastic Effects in Multipion Production  $e^+ e^-$  Annihilation at Low Energy. Nuovo Cim. 1973. A13: 413
- 4 Particle Data Group. Review of Particle Properties. Phys Lett. 1990. B239
- 5 Chemtob M. Meson Theory of Nuclear Vector and Axial Vector Exchange Current. in Meson in Nuclei I, eds, M Rho, D Wilkinson. North-Holland Publishing Company. 1979. 494~593
- 6 Serot B D, Walecka J D. Relativistic Nuclear Many-Body Problem. Adv in Nucl Phys. 1986. 16: 1
- 7 Itzykson C, Zuber J B. Quantum Field Theory. New York. McGrawHill Book Company. 1980
- 8 Mignaco J A, Pusterla M, Remiddi E. The Pseudoscalar PionNucleon Interaction etc. Nuovo Cim. 1969. 64A: 733
- 9 Bentz W, Liu L G, Arima A. Chiral Symmetry in Nuclear Matter. Ann Phys (New York). 1988. 188: 1

### Appendix

In this appendix, we will derive the imaginary part of function  $F_2$ , by using dimensional integration method.

$\text{Im} F_2$  has been calculated in ref. [8] by means of Cutkosky rule, which we show here briefly.

$$F_2(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int dq \frac{1}{[(p+q)^2 - m_1^2 + i\epsilon][q^2 - m_2^2 + i\epsilon]} \quad (A1)$$

by means of Cutkosky rule

$$\text{Im}F_2(\rho^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^4} \int dq (2\pi i)^2 \delta^+((\rho+q)^2 - m_1^2) \delta^+(q^2 - m_2^2) \quad (\text{A2})$$

For simplicity, choose  $\vec{p}=0$ , and we find

$$\text{Im}F_2 = -\frac{\bar{Q}}{8\pi\rho_0} \theta(\rho_0^2 - (m_1+m_2)^2) \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\bar{Q}^2 = \frac{1}{4\rho_0^2} (\rho_0^2 - (m_1+m_2)^2) (\rho_0^2 - (m_1-m_2)^2) \quad (\text{A4})$$

the Lorentz invariance of  $F_2$  ensure that  $\rho_0^2$  can be replaced by  $\rho^2=s$ . In case of  $m_1=m_2=m_\pi$ ,

$$\text{Im}F_2 = -\frac{\bar{Q}}{8\pi\sqrt{s}} \theta(s-4m_\pi^2) \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\bar{Q} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{s-4m_\pi^2} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Next we will show our method to derive  $\text{Im}F_2$ . In ref. (9), we have shown the calculation of  $F_2$  by dimensional integration, the result is

$$F_2(\rho^2, m_\pi^2, m_\pi^2) = -\frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{16\pi^2} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \ln[\rho^2(x^2-x) + m_\pi^2] + o(\epsilon) \quad (\text{A7})$$

here  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and we have chosen  $m_1=m_2=m_\pi$ . The imaginary part appears only when the argument in the logarithm becomes negative, that is

$$x - \frac{1}{2} - \delta < 0, \text{ and } x - \frac{1}{2} + \delta > 0 \quad (\text{A8})$$

it is true only when  $\rho^2 > 4m_\pi^2$ .  $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{m_\pi^2}{\rho^2}} = \frac{\bar{Q}}{\sqrt{s}}$ . For other case  $\text{Im}F_2=0$ . So

$$\text{Im}F_2 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \text{Im} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} dx \ln[(x - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \delta^2] = \frac{2\pi\delta}{16\pi^2} \quad (\text{A9})$$

this is the same equation as (A5).

## $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ 衰变的么正计算和 $\rho^0$ 极化插入的虚部

刘良钢\* 黎培进 段宜武 阮文英

**摘要** 本文给出了  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  衰变的么正计算及其衰变率和  $\rho^0$  极化插入的虚部的关系.  $\rho^0$  极化插入的虚部是用维度积分的方法来计算. 极化插入虚部的计算方法是普适的.

**关键词**  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  衰变, 光学定理, 极化插入虚部

**分类号** O412.3

\* 中山大学物理学系, 广州 510275