

Asymmetry of Thurston's Pseudometric^{*}

LIU Li-xin

(Department of Mathematics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, China)

Abstract: It is proved that the length spectrum metric is not quasiisometric to Thurston's pseudometric, and that Thurston's pseudometric is asymmetric in Teichmüller space. The range of asymmetry of Thurston's pseudometric is also described.

Keywords: length spectrum; extremal length; Teichmüller metric

CLC number: 0174.5 Document code: A

Let S be a compact Riemann surface with genus g , $g > 1$. For any compact Riemann surface S_0 and any orientation preserved homeomorphism $f: S \rightarrow S_0$, we call the pair (S_0, f) a marked Riemann surface. Two marked Riemann surfaces (S_1, f_1) and (S_2, f_2) are equivalent if there is a conformal mapping $\phi: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ which is homotopic to $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}$. Denote $[S, f]$ to be the equivalent class of (S, f) . The Teichmüller space $T(S)$ (also denoted by T_g) is the set of the equivalent classes $[S, f]$.

As we know, Teichmüller gave a complete metric on $T(S)$ using the dilatation of extremal quasi-conformal mapping

$$d_T([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2]) = \ln K[f_0]$$

where $f_0: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ is the extremal quasiconformal mapping in the homotopic class of $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}$, and $K[f_0]$ is its dilatation.

Let $\Sigma_S = \{\gamma_i\}$ be the set of the representations of elements (not including the unit element) of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S)$ of surface S . Let $l_S(\gamma)$ be the shortest length under the Poincaré metric in the freely homotopic class of closed curve γ on the Riemann surface S . The sequence $\{l_S(\gamma_i)\}$ corresponding to Σ_S is called the length spectrum of $S^{[1]}$. We introduce a metric on $T(S)$ using length spectrum of Riemann surface^[2~4]

$$d([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2]) = \ln \rho([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2])$$

$$\text{where } \rho([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2]) = \sup_{\gamma \in \Sigma_{S_1}} \left\{ \frac{l_{S_2}(f(\gamma))}{l_{S_1}(\gamma)}, \frac{l_{S_1}(\gamma)}{l_{S_2}(f(\gamma))} \right\}$$

and $f = f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}$. This metric is called the length spectrum metric of the Teichmüller space $T(S)$. The Thurston's pseudometrics d_{p_1} and d_{p_2} are also defined by the length spectrum of Riemann surface as following.

$$d_{p_1}([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2]) = \ln \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{S_1}} \frac{l_{S_2}(f(\alpha))}{l_{S_1}(\alpha)}, \quad d_{p_2}([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2]) = \ln \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{S_1}} \frac{l_{S_1}(f(\alpha))}{l_{S_2}(\alpha)}$$

* 基金项目: 国家自然科学基金资助项目 (19801040); 广东省自然科学基金资助项目 (974070); 中山大学学术中心基金资助项目 (00M9)

收稿日期: 1999-11-25; 作者简介: 刘立新 (1966~), 男, 副教授.

where $f = f_2 \circ f_0^{-1}$. We know from the definition that

$$d_{p_1}([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2]) = d_{p_2}([S_1, f_1], [S_2, f_2]).$$

Remark1 Actually d_{p_1} and d_{p_2} are not strictly pseudometrics. For the terminology “pseudometric” has the following standard meaning: the non-negative function ρ in $X \times X$ defines a pseudometric on X if it satisfies:

$$\rho(x, x) = 0, \rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$$

$$\text{and } \rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$$

for all x, y and z . (but we may have $\rho(x, y) = 0$ and $x \neq y$). For the sake of convenience, d_{p_1} and d_{p_2} are still called pseudometrics.

The study of the relations of various metrics or pseudometrics in $T(S)$ is very interesting. The length spectrum metric d is topologically equivalent to the Teichmüller metric d_T ^[5]. This result can also be obtained directly from the Thurston's embedding of Teichmüller space. The length spectrum metric is a complete metric. Furthermore we know that the metrics d, d_T are pseudometrics d_{p_1} and d_{p_2} are topologically equivalent to each other^[3, 4]. It is natural for us to consider the following problem: whether the metrics d, d_T and the pseudometrics d_{p_1}, d_{p_2} are quasiisometric to each other? In this paper, we prove that d_{p_1} is not quasiisometric to d_{p_2} , this result implies that the length spectrum metric d is not quasiisometric to the Thurston's pseudometrics $d_{p_i}, i = 1, 2$.

The problem whether the metric d is quasiisometric to $d_{p_i}, i = 1, 2$, or not, is equivalent to the following: whether there is constant $K \geq 1$ such that for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(S)$, the following inequality holds.

$$\frac{1}{K}d_{p_i}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq d(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq Kd_{p_i}(\tau_1, \tau_2), i = 1, 2.$$

We know from the definition that for any

$$\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(S), d_{p_i}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq d(\tau_1, \tau_2), i = 1, 2$$

Then the above problem is equivalent to the following: whether there exists constant $K \geq 1$, such that for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(S)$,

$$d(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq Kd_{p_i}(\tau_1, \tau_2), i = 1, 2 \quad (1)$$

To solve the above problem, we first study whether the pseudometric d_{p_1} is quasiisometric to the pseudometric d_{p_2} . That is, whether there exists constant $K \geq 1$, such that for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(S)$,

$$\frac{1}{K}d_{p_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq d_{p_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq Kd_{p_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \quad (2)$$

The answer to this problem is negative.

Theorem The pseudometric d_{p_1} is not quasiisometric to the pseudometric d_{p_2} .

Proof Suppose that there is a constant $K > 0$, such that

$$d_{p_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq Kd_{p_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(S)$.

Next we'll give an example to show that the above assumption is false. Let $\tau_0 = [S_0, f_0] \in T(S)$ and γ be a simple closed curve of S . Shrink the Poincaré length of $f_0(\gamma)$ to ϵ_n , where ϵ_n is a sequence of positive numbers which tends to zero. We get a sequence of points $\tau_n = [S_n, f_n], n = 1, 2, \dots$ in $T(S)$, corresponding to the above shrinking process.

For any closed curve $\alpha \in \Sigma_S$, α is not homotopic to γ , we have^[7]

$$l_{\tau_n}(f_n(\alpha)) \simeq C_1(\alpha) + C_2(\alpha) \mid \ln \varepsilon_n \mid i(\alpha, \gamma)$$

where $C_1(\alpha)$ and $C_2(\alpha)$ are constants depending on α and $i(\alpha, \gamma)$ is the geometric intersection of α and γ .

Without loss of generality, we take $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$. From the definition

$$d_{p_1}(\tau_0, \tau_n) = \ln \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_S} \frac{l_{\tau_n}(f_n(\alpha))}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha))} \simeq \ln \max \left\{ \frac{1/n}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\gamma))}, \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_S} \frac{C_1(\alpha') + C_2(\alpha') i(\gamma, \alpha') \ln n}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha'))} \right\}$$

where $\Sigma'_S = \{\alpha; \alpha \in \Sigma_S, \alpha \text{ is not homotopic to } \gamma\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$nl_{\tau_0}(f_0(\gamma)) \geq 1. \text{ Because for any } \alpha' \in \Sigma'_S, \frac{l_{\tau_n}(f_n(\alpha'))}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha'))} \geq 1$$

$$\text{Then } d_{p_1}(\tau_0, \tau_n) = \ln \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_S} \frac{l_{\tau_n}(f_n(\alpha'))}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha'))} \simeq \ln \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_S} \frac{C_1(\alpha') + C_2(\alpha') i(\gamma, \alpha') \ln n}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha'))}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$d_{p_2}(\tau_0, \tau_n) = \ln \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_S} \frac{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha'))}{l_{\tau_n}(f_n(\alpha'))} = \ln (nl_{\tau_0}(f_0(\gamma)))$$

$$\text{Denote } M_n = \frac{d_{p_1}(\tau_0, \tau_n)}{d_{p_2}(\tau_0, \tau_n)} = \frac{\ln \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_S} [l_{\tau_n}(f_n(\alpha')) / l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha'))]}{\ln (nl_{\tau_0}(f_0(\gamma)))}$$

$$\text{Let } M_n(\alpha) = \frac{\ln [l_{\tau_n}(f_n(\alpha')) / l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha'))]}{\ln (nl_{\tau_0}(f_0(\gamma)))},$$

where $\alpha \in \Sigma_S$.

If α homotopic to γ , $M_n(\alpha) = -1$. If $\alpha \in \Sigma'_S$, then

$$M_n(\alpha) = \frac{O \left[\ln \frac{C_1(\alpha) + C_2(\alpha) i(\alpha, \gamma) \ln n}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha))} \right]}{\ln (nl_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha)))} = \frac{O \left[\ln \frac{C_1(\alpha) + C_2(\alpha) i(\alpha, \gamma) \ln n}{l_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha))} \right]}{\ln (nl_{\tau_0}(f_0(\alpha)))} = O \left(\frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln n} \right).$$

Therefore, for any

$$\alpha \in \Sigma'_S, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(\alpha) = 0 \quad (3)$$

By assumption, $M_n \geq 1/K$, because $M_n = \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma'_S} M_n(\alpha)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\alpha' \in \Sigma'_S$,

such that $M_n(\alpha') > 1/K - \varepsilon > 0$. This contradicts (3). So the assumption that for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(S)$, $d_{p_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq K d_{p_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is false.

Because $d_{p_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = d_{p_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$

there is no constant K , such that for any

$$\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(S), d_{p_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq K d_{p_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

Then (2) is not true. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2 In some sense, the theorem shows the extend of the lack of symmetry of the pseudometrics d_{p_i} , $i = 1, 2$.

From the definition of d and above results, we know that (1) is not true.

Corollary The length spectrum metric d is not quasiisometric to the Thurston's pseudometrics d_{p_i} , $i = 1, 2$.

References:

- [1] ABIKOFF W. The real analytic theory of Teichmüller space[A] . In: Lecture notes in Math[M] . Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980. 1 ~ 145.
- [2] SORVAL T. The boundary mapping induced by an isomorphism ϕ covering groups[J] . Ann Acad Sci Fenn (A), 1972, 526: 1 ~ 31.
- [3] LI Z. Teichmüller metric and length spectrum of Riemann surface[J] . Sci in China(A), 1986(3): 802 ~ 810.
- [4] LIU L X. On the length spectrum of non-compact Riemann surface[J] . Ann Acad Sci Fenn(A), 1999, 24: 11 ~ 22.
- [5] KERCKHOFF S P. The asymptotic geometry of Teichmüller space[J] . Topology, 1980, 19: 23 ~ 41.
- [6] PAPADOPOULOS A. On Thurston's boundary of Teichmüller space and the extension of earthquake[J] . Topology and Its Applications, 1991, 41: 147 ~ 177.
- [7] MINSKY Y N. Harmonic maps, length, and energy in Teichmüller space[J] . J Diff Geom, 1992, 35: 151 ~ 217.

Thurston 拟度量的非对称性

刘立新^{*}

摘 要: 证明了在 Teichmüller 空间中, 长度谱度量不拟等距于 Thurston 拟度量, 证明了 Thurston 拟度量的非对称性, 刻画了 Thurston 拟度量不对称的程度.

关键词: 长度谱; 极值长度; Teichmüller 度量

中图分类号: O174.5

^{*} 中山大学数学系, 广东 广州 510275